



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#"><u>Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</u></a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CA_30255</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Mean Arterial Pressure classification: a better tool for statistical interpretation of blood pressure related risk covariates</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Method Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The authors proposed the mean arterial pressure classification for statistical interpretation of blood pressure related risk covariates. This is a good proposal and good study. However, some problems existed.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The language needs to be improved because of some grammar mistakes. Please find a native English speaker to revise the language for you.</li> <li>2. Abstract: For an original research article, the abstract should be structural with Purpose, Materials and methods, Results and Conclusion. Please find a similar published article for reference.</li> <li>3. In INTRODUCTION, the authors said "heart patients", which should be "patients with heart diseases". Please check.</li> <li>4. In Table IV, the authos used some abbreviations. Please explain these abbreviations in a NOTE under the table including MAP, SBP, DBP, WC, BMI, B, R etc.</li> <li>5. Figures: The authors used figure 1, and the abbreviations in the figure should also be explained in the figure legends.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Language was rechecked and necessary grammatical mistakes were corrected.</li> <li>2. The abstract has been structured with clear defined purpose, Materials and methods, Results and Conclusion.</li> <li>3. Checked and corrected.</li> <li>4. Note under the table IV explaining the abbreviation were incorporated.</li> <li>5. Abbreviations explained in the figure 1 incorporated.</li> </ol>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		