



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_30046
Title of the Manuscript:	Transcatheter aortic valve implantation in an octogenarian patient with single coronary artery
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>A case report about at patient with abnormal coronary artery who had a TAVI. It has been described before; they had 3 cases in their references list.</p> <p>In introduction they describe the prevalence. I think that these patients also had the need of TAVI (they describe that in the discussion). They don't have to try to make it rare.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>They had to describe why the patient had a pacemaker and what kind of pacemaker.</p> <p>EuroScore and STS score had to be described, what gives the risk of nearly 30%</p> <p>Ethical issue: Not if the patient had given written consent</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>They have to describe why an ostial occlusion is dangerous. What about patients with small RCA and no collaterals.</p> <p>What can this case learn other, that may be the conclusion.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Claus Andersen
Department, University & Country	Department of Cardiothoracic Anaesthesia, Odense University hospital, Denmark