



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_22792
Title of the Manuscript:	About physical bases of heart acting.Case of women in Democratic Republic of Congo
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This is an interesting biophysical/biomathematical research study. The only concern is that "CONCLUSION" section should be re-written. The first paragraph of the conclusions (lines 222-234) is too general and too ambitious. In the second paragraph (lines 225-226) mathematical symbols should be avoided and the last paragraph (lines 227-228) is not necessary. In the reference list authors cite mainly their own studies. This topic of research should be placed in the broader context of cardiovascular biophysics.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jerzy Beltowski
Department, University & Country	Department of Pathophysiology, Medical University, Lublin, Poland