



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_22792
Title of the Manuscript:	About physical bases of heart acting. Case of healthy women in Democratic Republic of Congo
Type of the Article	Clinical study Original research papers

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	(1) Add the hypothesis. (2) The protocol is not clear; method... characteristic of the subjects, sleep and dietary habits activity..... (3) Add the limits of our study (4) We don't find the discussion section?????? (5) This reviewer recommends the authors focus on the main findings of the study and the public recommendations on these findings. (6) Respect guidelines (7) define all the abbreviations in the beginning	(1) See the reviewed paper. (2) We exposed this in the reviewed paper. (3) This study concern the healthy women who have normal cardiac frequency between 60-100, normal systolic pressure and normal diastolic pressure. The value under 60 and past 100 have been added to verify the validity of Konyima equation. (4) See results and discussion (5) In the conclusion we recommend to build the new sphygmomanometers. (6) Ok. (7) It is done in the text.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Check for English correction and minor mistakes in the format of the paper.	ok
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Update references and respect guidelines	In short, thanks for your remarks. We have taken them into account, see the reviewed paper.