

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_19495
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Hs-CRP and Exercise Stress Echocardiography in Cardiovascular Risk Stratification of Asymptomatic Type 2 Diabetic Patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Minor REVISION comments	 Reviewers' comments (based on the questionnaire for the review): ABSTRACT: METHODS: It is better to write place of the study, year of the study done, study design, sampling technique, method of data collection, under methodology in abstract. It also needs to put in one line of statistic use for this study and ethical permission from where you taken if require of this journal. You need to mention above suggestion in methodology section in abstract because many people read the abstract first and taken the clear picture from this abstract. In Conclusion of abstract: you need to write one line recommendation if any, The body of paper Introduction good. You should write Reference number according to journal style. I think it is better to write number after full stop for Example: population of the world.² Methods and materials: you need to include sampling technique, design etc. Statistical analysis good. IN RESULTS: Do not use short form if possible. If you can use short form please write the footnote under the table if applicable 	



SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

	Eg.H/O smoking. Table is self explanatory do not need to read the text of table.	
	Discussion Part is good. I think you need to add some heading after discussion such as conclusion, implication and limitation if you have and acknowledgement.	
	Please write the references according to Journal Style.	
	 It is a very good study only minor revision is needed It is well written study which will be interesting to many of the readers Authors should use Journal's style to write the manuscript 	
Optional/General comments		

<u>Reviewer Details:</u>

Name:	Radha Acharya Pandey
Department, University & Country	Nursing Department, Kathmandu University School of Medical Sciences, Nepal