



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_19495
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Hs-CRP and Exercise Stress Echocardiography in Cardiovascular Risk Stratification of Asymptomatic Type 2 Diabetic Patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Reviewers' comments (based on the questionnaire for the review):</p> <p>ABSTRACT: METHODS: It is better to write place of the study, year of the study done, study design, sampling technique, method of data collection, under methodology in abstract. It also needs to put in one line of statistic use for this study and ethical permission from where you taken if require of this journal.</p> <p>You need to mention above suggestion in methodology section in abstract because many people read the abstract first and taken the clear picture from this abstract.</p> <p>In Conclusion of abstract: you need to write one line recommendation if any, The body of paper</p> <p>Introduction good. You should write Reference number according to journal style. I think it is better to write number after full stop for Example: population of the world.²</p> <p>Methods and materials: you need to include sampling technique, design etc Statistical analysis good.</p> <p>IN RESULTS: Do not use short form if possible. If you can use short form please write the footnote under the table if applicable Eg.H/O smoking. Table is self explanatory do not need to read the text of</p>	<p>Sir/madam</p> <p>Thank you for such extensive and precious evaluation. In my comments and revised manuscript, i have tried to take care of everything mentioned by you. I have made the changes as per the suggestions.</p> <p>Regards</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I have added the type of study, place and year of study in the methodology of abstract. 2. The conclusion of abstracts mentions the recommendation as per the results of study. 3. References used in



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>table.</p> <p>Discussion Part is good.</p> <p>I think you need to add some heading after discussion such as conclusion, implication and limitation if you have and acknowledgement.</p> <p>Please write the references according to Journal Style.</p> <p>1. It is a very good study only minor revision is needed</p> <p>2. It is well written study which will be interesting to many of the readers</p> <p>4 Authors should use Journal's style to write the manuscript</p>	<p>study have been corrected as per recommendations.</p> <p>4. Shorts forms have been eliminated from the manuscript.</p> <p>5. Limitations of study is mentioned separately.</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		