



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_19495
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Hs-CRP and Exercise Stress Echocardiography in Cardiovascular Risk Stratification of Asymptomatic Type 2 Diabetic Patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Please discuss also the paper from Ciccone MM et al. Vasc Health Risk Manag. 2011;7:129-34. - The small sample size is a limitation of this study. Please discuss such a point in a dedicated limitation section. - Please perform a multivariate regression model in order to evaluate the role of confounding factors on results. - The authors excluded severe forms of lung diseases. Nevertheless, Ciccone MM et al. Molecules. 2014 Jan 29;19(2):1651-62 pointed out an increase in inflammatory markers in several degree of OSAS. How many patients did suffer from mild form of OSAS? How did such condition influence results? 	<p>Sir/madam</p> <p>Thank you for such extensive and precious evaluation. In my comments and revised manuscript, i have tried to take care of everything mentioned by you. I have made the changes as per the suggestions.</p> <p>Regards</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Limitations of study have been cited in manuscript separately including the small size of study population, non consideration of various confounding factors like OSAS, other CAD equivalents.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In the methods section of the abstract please better describe the two groups. 	Group division on basis of result of exercise stress echocardiography as positive and negative is included in abstract
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		