SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Microbiology Research Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BMRJ_29557**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Evaluation of DNA based techniques for the diagnosis of Human Vaginal trichomoniasis in North Indian Population**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The protozoan Trichomonas vaginalis (TV) is responsible for trichomonosis, a sexually transmitted disease (STD) with a significant incidence worldwide. This infection is one of the most common non-viral STDs and its diagnosis is of great importance. This paper proposes a PCR reaction using an alternative gene that reported for use as diagnosis of trichomoniasis.	
Minor REVISION comments	The work is careful, however some observations and improvements on the figures to be made. 1. in Figure 1, must specify that sample is in line 1 to 7 and not only mention that it is amplicons. 2. Figure 2 should be more explicit, not known to be A and which is B, and the type of chart does not help explain what the authors require, I suggest making appropriate modifications	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Angel Ramos-ligonio***
Department, University & Country	***Universidad Veracruzana, México***