SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BMRJ_27451**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Sero prevalence of Equine Infectious Anemia (EIA) Virus in Sudan**
Type of the Article	**Original research paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	The aim of the manuscript is good but it is poorly written and very simple. The results are interesting but they are not explored a long the text (below you have some examples) The disease is important and it is important to know its prevalence and incidence. "The most important mode of transmission of the disease is by transferring of virus-infective blood by blood feeding insects" this statement is incorrect, it can happen but it is not the most important I missed a lot of references a long the text, specially in the introduction and discussion What is the total equid herd in the country??You tested 368 animals and you could discuss the results based on the total population, means of controlling this disease, how important it is for equine owners, how to control the spread of the disease Table 1,2 and 3 can be joined Figures 1 and 2 means the same as the tables, you can exclude that The first paragraph of discussion should be in the introduction Conclusion is poor and means nothing. You should discuss your findings and why are they relevant.	

SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Anonymous***
Department, University & Country	***Universidade Federal de Pelotas, Brazil***