



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_27451
Title of the Manuscript:	Sero prevalence of Equine Infectious Anemia (EIA) Virus in Sudan
Type of the Article	Original research paper

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The revised edition is ok. However I have few suggestion on the discussion part. The sentence "This result is higher than that obtained by Ibrahim ^[14] " I suggest you consider "This result is higher than that obtained by Ibrahim ^[14] in donkeys from Khartoum state and that of Getacheus <i>et al</i> ^[30] who found 0.2% prevalence out 1002 sera sample tested in Central Ethiopia. However, similar studies conducted in UAE^[31], Bulgaria^[32] showed absence of the disease in donkey populations; and in donkey and horse in Turkey^[33] ." The sentence "For us, the result is accepted" I suggest you consider "The variation in the prevalence rate obtained for donkeys and horses in this study is justifiable considering donkeys population in Sudan to be 7506000^[38] which is also 10 times the horses population; their distributed all over the country and their uses in different activities, like transport and farming. Horses on the other hand are restricted with larger numbers in some regions where they are considered economically important based on their uses in racing, and transportation." The sentence "but the disease was not reported in African countries till 2009^[18] ,.....". I suggest you consider "but the disease was not reported in African countries till 2009^[18] , followed by serological report of the disease in Nigeria in 2014 ^[13] and other African countries such as; Ethiopia ^[12] and Central African Republic; Chad, Egypt, Eritrea, Libya and South Sudan ^[11] ."	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. M. Mayaki
Department, University & Country	Department Of Veterinary Medicine, Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Nigeria