



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_25845
Title of the Manuscript:	Association of Hepatitis Virus Infections with CD4 and CD8 Among Certain Group of Lymphoma Patients in Babylon Provence
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors have evaluated hepatitis viral infections in lymphomas in Babylon, Iraq. They report that HCV has the highest distribution followed by HBV. HAV infection was not found. The methods section is lacking and more information needs to be included. What are the procedural flow charts from Bioelisa, for example? Also, there is almost no mention of the statistical tests employed. How were the healthy volunteers selected? Did the studies require approval before conducted? The figures and tables need legends that would allow a reader to view the paper and understand it while glossing over the text. Finally, P-values are provided relative to the healthy control panel; an ANOVA with the appropriate post test may also be employed to provide the level of significance between HL and NHL groups as well. Ethical issues: The authors need to state if the study underwent formal approval and that all patients and control group participants agreed to be a part of the study.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The English throughout the text should be proofed as there are numerous errors. I do not believe that sections in the Introduction describing the CD antigens as well as methods for their detection are required.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mark E. Mummert
Department, University & Country	Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Health, University of North Texas Health Science Center, USA