



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_22728
Title of the Manuscript:	Tolerance to Heavy Metals by some Fungal Isolates from Petroleum Refinery Effluent in Kaduna, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Authors should carefully review the manuscript since the manuscript contain so many lexical issues.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 24; should read; "These three most tolerant fungal isolates are important in treating systems contaminated with heavy metals (HMs) because of their bioremediation potential". Line 24; Note: After using the word heavy metals (HMs), HMs should be applied to represent the word hereafter. Line 31; should read; "HMs are present in nature and in industrial wastewater, especially, wastewaters of petroleum, tannery and textile origin". Line 32-33; should read; "Environmental pollution by HMs is of global concern". Authors should delete "everywhere to different degrees and specific to certain part of the biogeosphere". Line 35-37; Authors should not restrict their claim of introduction of HMs into the environment only through anthropogenic sources. There are other platforms that serve as sources for introduction of HMs into the environment. Hence Line 35 should read; "One of the sources by which HMs are introduced into the environment is through anthropogenic activities". Line 39-40; should read; "They contaminate food sources and environmental systems (soil, water, air)". Line 42-45; Statement is ambiguous. Rephrased. Line 45; "effluents from which industries"?	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 57-60; "Statement is ambiguous. Rephrased". Line 71; "Define KRPC in full in line 71 as in line 77, then use only KRPC in line 77". Line 185; "Does $P > 0.05$ actually indicate no significant difference"? Line 186: should read; "This may probably be due to the fact that...".	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Authors should provide results of analysis of HMs present in the raw effluents at Point A-D before the fungi culture and isolation experiment. Authors should provide method and results of analysis of the control experiment.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ukiwe Lugard Luke
Department, University & Country	Chemistry Department, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Nigeria