



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_29557
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of DNA based techniques for the diagnosis of Human Vaginal trichomoniasis in North Indian Population
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The protozoan <i>Trichomonas vaginalis</i> (TV) is responsible for trichomonosis, a sexually transmitted disease (STD) with a significant incidence worldwide. This infection is one of the most common non-viral STDs and its diagnosis is of great importance. This paper proposes a PCR reaction using an alternative gene that reported for use as diagnosis of trichomoniasis.	We agree with the Reviewer.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The work is careful, however some observations and improvements on the figures to be made. 1. in Figure 1, must specify that sample is in line 1 to 7 and not only mention that it is amplicons. 2. Figure 2 should be more explicit, not known to be A and which is B, and the type of chart does not help explain what the authors require, I suggest making appropriate modifications.	1. As suggested by reviewer correction in Figure 1 has been done. 2. Correction has been made in Figure 2 as suggested.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		