



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_28805
Title of the Manuscript:	Molecular Identification, Bioactivity Screening and Metabolic Fingerprinting of the Actinomycetes of Chenab River Sediments
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the "Results and Discussion", (1) The serial number of isolates is confusion, for example, M713 was numbered as different isolates, as well M714, W101 etc, so that the description of the results is very confusion. (2) In many places, the description in the text and in the table shows inconsistencies, for example, in line 180 of text, the description is "W101 showed grey colored spores", but it was W108 in Table 1. There were the same problems in table 2 and table 3. Thus, It is recommended to check and make correction throughout.	(1) Changes have been made throughout the manuscript. (2) Corrections regarding name of isolates and their arrangements have been made throughout manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments	(1) Line 22: Change "actinomycete" to "actinomycetes". (2) Line 22: Change "<i>Klebsiella</i>" to "<i>Klebsiella</i> sp.", change it in whole of the manuscript. (3) Line 127: Change "<i>Acinetobacter</i>" to "<i>Acinetobacter</i> sp.". Change it in whole of the manuscript. (4) In Fig 1, Figure note shows "E: M81, F: W101", but the lack of E and F. (5) Behind Table 2, it is recommended to add table note to explain the meaning of "++", "+", "-". (6) In the Table 3: Change "<i>B. Cereus</i>" to "<i>B. cereus</i>". In addition, (7) Line 260, Change "<i>Streptomyces</i> Sp." to "<i>Streptomyces</i> sp." (8) In the Table 4, write "<i>Streptomyces pumices</i>", etc. as Italic format.	(1) Actinomycete has been changed to actinomycetes. (2) <i>Klebsiella</i> have been changed to <i>Klebsiella</i> sp. (3) <i>Acinetobacter</i> have been changed to <i>Acinetobacter</i> sp. (4) Correction has been made. (5) Key has been added below the tables to explain signs. (6) <i>B. Cereus</i> has been changed to <i>B. cereus</i>. (7) <i>Streptomyces</i> Sp. Have been changed to <i>Streptomyces</i> sp. (8) Names have been written in Italics.
Optional/General comments		