



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_28690
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-thyroid antibodies in patients of HCV genotype 3a
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<u>English languish is a major defect.</u> <u>Abstract:</u> Background; - need to be concentrated on thyroid in HCV - Avoid abbreviation in abstract. <u>Introduction:</u> - Short, but good, it may extended <u>Material and methods:</u> - Good <u>Results:</u> - Sample size is too small - All comparison p values significance is difficult to be generalized and accepted as small size different groups specially HCC group. - Male to female comparison also cannot be significant as females numbers is smaller than males.	The article has been thoroughly Revised as per suggested by worthy reviewer Corrected It was a pilot study, therefore, only limited number subjects were recruited for this project. Now th study has been over, therefore, we cannot recruit more subjects due to unavailability of funding



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Discussion: - Good - Disagreement with other studies related maybe to smaller sample size - References: good	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	All text needs corrections in written mistakes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		