



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_28289
Title of the Manuscript:	Recurrent glaucoma drainage device erosion in association with occult infection with biofilm-producing organisms
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I have the following concerns about the manuscript titled:” Recurrent glaucoma drainage device erosion in association with occult infection with biofilm producing organisms.” 1. What is the correct name of the bacteria isolated from the Baerveldt GDD (Streptococcus parasanguis or Streptococcus parasanguinis)? The second one appeared more often in the literature. 2. I suggest to add short description of the Baerveldt valve. Which model was used? Please explain why this type of GDD was chosen for patient with Fuchs Heterochromic Cyclitis. 3. In Presentation of Case section there are some missing information. a) Visual acuity b) IOP of the right eye c) Current anti-glaucoma treatment d) Visual field, MD, PSD e) Were any medications given at the end of the first surgery (subconjunctival dexamethason, gentamicin, cefuroxime or triamcynolone) because of the cyclitis? f) What was the post-operative treatment? g) What was the intraocular pressure after the surgery? h) What were the visual acuity, intraocular	1. Correct name of bacteria is Streptococcus parasanguis (as in microbiology report). 2. GDD valve type and reasons for use included in manuscript. 3. Missing information now within revised manuscript. Exception: No pre-operative visual field data is available as the patient was referred from another unit.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	pressure, MD and treatment before and after explanted GGD?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	4. The antibiogram and antimicrobial susceptibility of isolated bacterias should be added. 5. Please attach fotograph of the eye after the GGD explantation from the last follow-up visit.	4. Sensitivities now included in manuscript. 5. We are sorry that we do not have final post-operative photographs.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		