



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_27866
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and antibiotic resistance of streptococcus pneumonia among different hospitals in Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	➤ The topic looks specific to <i>S. pneumoniae</i> but the manuscript is a description of all organisms isolated from pneumonia suspected cases ➤ The language is very poor and use of non-specific words to describe certain things makes it very difficult to understand what the authors want to convey ➤ In methods....you described only collection of samples and storage of the isolates....no ABST testing in methods described ➤ Overall write up is very poor and needs an overhaul for reconsideration of the manuscript Does not mention any ethical matters	YES..I agree but titles actually more specifically reflects only part of study project accomplished. YES.. I have gone through the manuscript and made necessary changes through. SAME AS ABOVE....YES.. I have gone through the manuscript and made necessary changes through making the others yellow highlighted
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		