



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_27451
Title of the Manuscript:	Sero prevalence of Equine Infectious Anemia (EIA) Virus in Sudan
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In this manuscript, the prevalence of EIA in Sudan was investigated. The result showed an association between EIA infection and location, which is quite interesting. However, I have following questions or suggestions for authors: 1> In this paper, many words stick together, and there are some grammar mistakes, so please check full text carefully e.g. In abstract, "to mornitoring" should be "to monitor" 2> How to calculate the P value of association between EIA infection and location? 3> Please name a title for Figure 1 instead of "Bar Chart".	Done Figure 1was deleted
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		