



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_27445
Title of the Manuscript:	Bacteriological Quality and Prevalence of Multidrug Resistant Gram-negative bacteria from surface and underground domestic water sources in selected locations in Ibadan, Oyo state, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Ethical issue: Any permission sort from Municipal council authorities?	The research does not require any ethical approval since the research work was environmental based. However, there is no such body named 'Municipal council authorities' in my country.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 18: Sequentially is better than randomly Line 23: AJR, DDR etc should be given numbers or rephrase thus for samples from sites AJR, DDR Line 31: Rephrase:.... signifies unacceptable contamination which is a public health hazard that requires urgent attention. Line 39: replace to with <u>for</u> (for the survival of) Line 42: May expand sentence:-.... present for human use. Line 43: note <u>efforts</u> (...several <u>efforts</u>...)	Line 18: The word randomly has been changed to sequentially as suggested. Line 23: The correction has been effected as suggested by the reviewer. Line 31: The statement have been rephrased as 'signifies a public health hazard that requires urgent attention' so as not to exceed the word count for abstract. Line 39: Correction effected as suggested. Line 42: Phrase added as suggested. Line 43: correction effected. Line 46/47: corrections have been effected as



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 46/47: change to plural – rivers, streams, dams lagoons Line 56: people also <u>use</u> it.... Line 58: rainy season? Rephrase – some individuals often de.... Line 65: Insert 'the' between afford and sinking Line 66: engaged or <u>engage</u>?; dug or <u>dig</u>? Line 70: use: <u>get drowned</u> instead of died. Line 75: rephrase: resulted <u>to increased</u> cases... Line 85: Instead of nationalities, - use: tribes or groups Line 87: goats; herdsmen with cattle are very- Line 91: flooding. (remove from time to time). Line 94: rephrase:- <i>E. coli</i> which is an indicator of fecal contamination of water. Line 108: Check the format of the coordinates (what of use of Latitudes and longitudes) did you use google earth?	suggested. Line 56: correction effected. Line 58: Corrections effected as suggested. Line 65: 'the' has been inserted as suggested. Line 66: 'engaged' has been changed to 'employ' and 'dug' changed to 'hand-dig'. Line 70: correction effected. Line 75: correction effected. Line 85: 'nationalities' changed to 'groups' as suggested. Line 87: corrections effected. Line 91: the phrase 'from time to time' has been removed as suggested. Line 94: The correction has been effected as suggested. Line 108: This has been corrected and referenced Line 132: statement rephrased as suggested. Line 145 and 146: Each of the triplicate plate
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 132: rephrase – water current until it overflowed with water after which the bottle was covered aseptically. Line 145 and 146: since in triplicates, an average should be taken to calculate the CFU/ml. Line 146: Viable count <u>as</u> colony-forming-unit..... Line 155: equal volumes (state exact volume). Line 161: Any reference for McCrady Line 165: delete 'any of'(loop full of broth from the coliform...) Line 167: Will there be any adverse effect if incubated at room temperature to simulate temperature of water. Line 172: test should be tests Line 176/177: Rephrase - thirteen antibiotics selected from seven classes (penicillin...nitrofurantoin) using the disc diffusion method. Line 182: centre-to-centre (meaning?) Line 192: SEM - meaning? Line 193: rage – meaning? Line 197: <u>samples (water examples col..</u>	was counted separately to get the CFU/mL for each plate and then mean CFU/mL was calculated with the SPSS. Line 146: correction effected. Line 155: The entire statement has been rephrased stating the exact volume as shown in Line 153 - 156. Line 161: Reference No 25 was used. Line 165: 'any of' has been deleted as suggested. Line 167: There could be growth at room temperature possibly at a slow rate but the ideal condition of incubation for bacterial growth was followed. Line 172: correction effected. Line 176/177: correction effected. Line 182: it means measuring from the center of one disc and the other. But the phrase 'centre-to-centre' has been deleted in the manuscript. Line 192: SEM means 'standard error of mean'. It has been inserted. Line 193: rage is typo error it is ranged. It has been corrected. Line 197, 198 & 201: all corrections effected. Line 223/224: 'In the' has been deleted as suggested.
--	---	---



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Line 198: ... for samples <u>from</u> AJR,DOR.... Line 201: biochemical tests..... Line 223/224: Remove (in the) 224 – overall, 90.9% of... Line 295: Insert WHO after the (above the WHO standard) Line 298: (figure 2A)? Line 299: replace highly with <u>heavily</u> Line 303/304: reconstruct – All these reasons may be responsible for the high level... Line 308: replace condition with environment Line 313: suggested or suggest? Line 319: insert <u>or</u> after garbage Line 339: reports Line 360: References. Check reference format of Journal. Journal names to be in Italic	Line 295: 'WHO' inserted. Line 298: 'A' inserted. Line 299: corrected. Line 303/304: statement reconstructed as suggested. Line 308: word replaced as suggested. Line 313: 'suggest' is the correct word. Changes effected. Line 319: 'or' inserted. Line 339: corrected. Line 360: Journal name are not suppose to be italicized according to the author guideline.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		