



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_27150
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibacterial Susceptibility of Urinary Escherichia coli from Outpatients with Community Acquired Urinary Tract Infections, Report from Tertiary Health Care Center, Egypt.
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>The research was adequately reviewed; authors should consider the following observations.</p> <p>Abstract, Introduction and Methodology: Authors should consider manuscript.</p> <p>Results: Table 1, constitute problem in the research work, the information provided is not detail enough vital information were suppressed. Authors need to review the table such as 10-15, 16-20, 21-25 and 26-30. This will open up a lot of observation for authors to present in the research.</p> <p>In addition, interchanging sensitivity and resistance is confusing to readers authors should review this.</p> <p>I suggest authors to review the topic since they are working only on resistance pattern of the isolates.</p>	<p>Considered</p> <p>This table gives an idea about demographic criteria of patients with E. coli community UTIs.</p> <p>Patients were classified into age groups: less than 15 (children), 15-40 (child bearing period), and more than 40 (elderly).</p> <p>Classification into more age groups leads to data fragmentation without any significance in the research as the manuscript report the resistance pattern without discussing the risk factors in different age groups or comparing the resistance pattern in these age groups.</p> <p>Resistance term is used in all result and discussion section.</p>