



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_26629
Title of the Manuscript:	Suppression of phytopathogenic fungi by plant extract of some weeds and the possible mode of action
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article is good, because it has valuable information about the use of plant extracts for controlling phytopathogenic fungi. A successful methodology for this type of work was followed and the results indicate alternatives for managing plant diseases caused by fungi.	Thank you very much.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	In the literature review would need to include information related to the plant metabolites that may affect the development and growth of fungi. Perhaps more information in this regard gives greater consistency to work.	We did not amend the literature review a lot of citations to avoid the extension of the paper and to avoid the repletion in the discussion. In discussion section, we mentioned enough information about the effect of plant metabolites on the development and growth of fungi.