



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_26297
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of maize storage technologies in Benin: Fungal ecology and mycotoxin contamination
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The Research is ok but the presentation is bad because of language problem. There is so much grammatical error in the paper the author should do a thorough grammar check. In Figure 2 the legend should be changed to English. It is written in French. The discussion appeared like a repetition of the Result. There are also serious grammatical errors there	1- Language problems are reviewed and corrected in the manuscript for a better presentation of the article. 2- Grammatical errors are reviewed and corrected throughout the document. 3- The title of figure 2 is rewritten in English. Discussion 4- Discussion is reviewed and the repetitions are removed from the manuscript.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I Strongly recommend the paper goes for grammar check.	1- The grammatical part is also corrected.