



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_24047
Title of the Manuscript:	The relation between IP-10 and HLA-DP/DQ polymorphisms on response to Nucleoside/Nucleotide analogues treatment among hepatitis B Egyptian patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This paper is clear and well written. However, minor changes are requested in order to improve the level of the manuscript. -I suggest the authors to introduce a Table with the study population (patients and controls) -I suggest the authors to introduce a Table with the genotype distribution -Please add a new section with the study limitations.	We added the two suggested tables and highlighted them in the manuscript. The main limitations in our study were the small sample size and the lack of funding source to fund this research.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		