



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_23800
Title of the Manuscript:	Staphylococcus aureus: Nasal carriage in health care workers and in-patients with special reference to MRSA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Ethical issues: Ethical clearance for the study was sought from the institute's ethical clearance committee and written consent was taken from HCW's as well as in-patients.	Dear reviewer, thank you very much for your valuable suggestions. As far ethical clearance is concerned, our institutes EC committee gives clearance to all such ventures. We took both verbal and written content from the HCWs and in-patients after explaining the procedure and the reason behind taking the swab.
Minor REVISION comments	Lines 66 2 hours 77 Mueller Hinton 81 sulphamethoxazole 133 number before the percentage n?..... (14,5%) 159 Table 1 start by Characteristics of References should be harmonized - Write all the journals in italics - Put a full stop at the end of all the references - Ref 15 and 17: concerning pages 70-7. 26-9 - Ref 23 put full stop after the title	All the changes have been made Sir/ Madam as per your recommendations. Have highlighted the changes in yellow.
Optional/General comments		