



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_22959
Title of the Manuscript:	OVERPRODUCTION OF XYLANASE FROM MUTANTS OF <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> USING BARLEY HUSK AS THE PRIME CARBON SOURCE IN SUBMERGED FERMENTATION AFTER RANDOM MUTAGENESIS OF ETHYL METHANE SULFONATE (EMS) AND ACRIDINE ORANGE (AO)
New Title:	OVERPRODUCTION OF XYLANASE FROM MUTANTS OF <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> WITH BARLEY HUSK AS THE PRIME CARBON SOURCE UNDER SUBMERGED FERMENTATION AFTER RANDOM MUTAGENESIS USING ETHYL METHANE SULFONATE (EMS) AND ACRIDINE ORANGE (AO)
Type of Article:	Original research Articles

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
I regret that authors have not been able to defend the comments well. At certain places explanations that does not justify the question asked, have been given in authors' feedback. Furthermore a few queries are still unanswered. For example: Authors have not followed journals guidelines for reference style. Still an extensive and careful editing of the English language is required for publish worthiness. Use of standard deviation?	The manuscript has been revised accordingly. Grammar was corrected. Reference format have been rechecked and changed. All xylanase activities were presented with standard deviation as shown in the revised manuscript.