



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_BMRJ_13736
Title of the Manuscript:	MICROBIOLOGY OF CHRONIC OTITIS MEDIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The percentages of dichotomous variables should be presented only for the highest data percentage, because the other is the complement since - lines 162, 172, 188, 191 and 209. When the letter "P" refers to the P value should be in caps lock, because "p" refers to the percentage or proportion - Line 168 The P value should be presented with the exact value found not only $P < 0.05$ - Linhas 193 e 199 Statistical tests should be mentioned	We disagree. It is good to present the percentages for the purpose of comparison We agree The authors think it is not necessary
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		