



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_22517
Title of the Manuscript:	Intra- And inter-Individual Variation in Population of Lactobacilli and Bifidobacteria in Faeces of Some Healthy Individuals.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The writing English and format should be polished and followed. 2. Line 153, figure one; revise to be Figure 1a. 3. Line 154-155, ... and an increase of Bifidobacteria from week one through to the third week.; deleted. 4. Line 157, ... by week but...; two better added after week. 5. Line 160, figure 2.; should be Figure 1b. 6. Line 167, ...table 1.; Should be Table 2. 7. Line 207, ... from Dubernet et al., 2002 and ...; should be from Dubernet et al.,(2002) and...; This reference was not included in the list of the References. 8. Line 268-269, The name of the journal should be abbreviated. 9. Line 296, Journal name was lost. 10. Line 301-302, the journal name was not correct.	All observations have been noted and corrections made Deleted and corrected, see line 155 - 156 Correction has been made, see line 157 Corrections have been effected. The reference has been included. See line 280 – 281 <i>J Anim Sci.</i> Journal name included see Line 306 – 308 Now corrected, see line 311 - 314
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript described an evaluation of the variation of lactobacilli and bifidobacteria population within and between healthy human gut at different points in time. The purpose of the study is interesting, however, the manuscript preparation and the results illustration were not well done. And many typo can be found easily.	Major corrections have been made.