



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BMRJ_21421
Title of the Manuscript:	IN VITRO ANTIBACTERIALACTIVITY IN THE EXTRACTS OF PEPEROMIA PELLUCIDA(L).
Type of the Article	research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>It is necessary all revision because a lot of words are joint with others The paper is correct by the investigation due</p>	<p>All corrections have been made accordingly as suggested. But what I don't understand is how the words were joined together in the reviewed word document copy which does not reflect such in my original sent copy. The corrected word document and PDF are hereby attached.</p>