



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Microbiology Research Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	2015_BMRJ_16631
Title of the Manuscript:	LACTIC ACID BACTERIA COMPOSITION OF NIGERIAN TYPE II SOURDOUGH
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Title: Remove 'Nigerian' and add 'produced in Nigeria' after sourdough. line6 ... remove 'Nigerian, and add 'in Nigeria' after produced. line18 .. replace 'are' with 'were' . line19 ... replace 'are' with 'were' . line24 .. replace with ..'There is no known published data on lactic acid bacteria composition of type II sourdough produced in Nigeria. The findings of this work will assist to bridge the information gap. line26.. replace 'the' with 'type II'. line 29 .. remove 'Nigerian' from 'type II sourdough' line69 .. replace 'the' after 1000g with 'each'. line 96 ... replace 'show' after counts with 'showed'. replace 'are' after bacteria with 'were'. line 99.. replace 'room temperature fermentation' with '31°C'. line 102 .. replace 'is' after there with 'was'. line 104.. replace 'is' after there with 'was'. line106.. replace 'are' after count with 'were'. line 108.. replace 'are' after count with 'were'. line110... replace ' have' after also with 'had'. line 113.. replace 'is' after there with 'was'. line 115 ..replace 'is' before no with 'was'. line 116 .. replace 'are' after counts with 'were'.	All corrections have been effected by the authors except correction by the reviewer to replace reference numbers with names of authors. This correction was not effected because it is not in line with the journal's referencing system.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	line 118 .. replace 'are' after counts with 'were'. line 121 .. add 's' to sourdough; add 'd' to produce; replace 'is' with 'was' after there. line 126 ... replace (16) with 'Luangsakul <i>et al</i> (2009)' line 127 .. replace (17) with authors names as done for line 126 above. lines 129, 130, 133, 136, 137 & 138 ... effect the same changes for the authors names as suggested above. line 156 ... replace 'is' after <i>L. plantarum</i> with 'was'. line 165 ... add 'have' between 'field' and 'reported'. line 166 .. add 'and' between) and 'this'. line 171 ... replace '(50)' with names of authors as suggested above. line 180.. replace 'is' with 'appears to be no published data on the ...' line 181 ... replace 'is' with 'could be' line 184 .. add 'known' between no & published; add type II after composition of line 185 ... replace with 'sourdough produced in Nigeria.' Table1: It is better to write 31°C instead of 'room temperature'. You must clearly separate data for fermentation at 31°C from those at 40°C. There must be keys to explain the acronyms LABC, FC, APC etc. Table3: : It is better to write 31°C instead of 'room temperature'. You must clearly separate data for fermentation at 31°C from those at 40°C. Conclusion:	
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	line1: change 'have' to 'has'. Remove 'Nigerian '. line2: add 'in Nigeria' after produced. add 'popular' between from and wheat line4: add 'for' between also and the. Remove 'the' between of and sourdough.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		