



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2013_BMRJ_8111
Title of the Manuscript:	PETROLEUM DEGRADING POTENTIALS OF BACTERIAL ISOLATES FROM RIVER ETHIOPE, DELTA STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The authors claimed that total petroleum hydrocarbon was determined by using infra-red spectroscopy but no figure on spectroscopy is presented. Only table is there which is not sufficient. Proper spectroscopic values for control and treated samples are needed to be incorporated. 2. Although the authors claim that they have done statistical methods, but no standard deviation and standard error values are incorporated either in tables or in figures (whenever needed). Authors need to incorporate standard deviation/standard error values for figures and tables 4 and 5	Table 6: Spectroscopic values have been included. This has been incorporated in Tables 3, 4, 5, 7a and 7b.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are a few typological mistakes.	Typographical errors corrected.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		