

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research	
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJPR_30974	
Title of the Manuscript:	Insights on the Infrared Spectrum, Phytochemical and antibacterial activities of Calotropis procera Leaf extracts against vancomycin and methicllin resistant bacterial isolates	
Type of the Article	Original research Paper	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer,
	Reviewer 5 comment	
		correct the manuscript and highlight that part in
		the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors
		should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u>	The manuscript has typos and grammatical errors which need t be	
REVISION	corrected. The authors are required t strictly adhere with the format f the	
comments	journal while preparing the manuscript. The Ethical issues should be	
	addressed properly. Conflict f interests should be indicated.	
	The Introduction, Discussion and Reference Sections should add a few	
	recent published reports on the subject suggested as following:	
	1. N. Srivastava, A. Chauhan, and B. Sharma (2012) Isolation and	
	characterization of some phytochemicals from Indian traditional	
	plants. BIOTECHNOLOGY RESEARCH	
	INTERNATIONAL. Vol.2012 (2012), Article ID 549850, 8 pages	
	2. N. Srivastava, R.K.Sharma, N.Singh and B.Sharma (2012)	
	Acetylcholinesterase from human	
	erythrocytes membrane: a screen for evaluating the activity of some	
	traditional plant extracts.	
	CELLULAR AND MOLECULAR BIOLOGY (Noisy-le-grand), 58:160-169.	
	The legends to the Tables and Figures are required to be properly written	
	to make them self explanatory.	
	The results are required to be written clearly. The discussion should focus	
	on to the presence and relevance of peaks and their importance.	
	The paper may be accepted for publication after making revision	
	suggested as above.	
Minor REVISION	Nil	
comments		
Optional/General		
comments	Nil	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	University of Allahabad, Allahabad, India