



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJPR_30974
Title of the Manuscript:	Insights on the Infrared Spectrum, Phytochemical and antibacterial activities of Calotropis procera Leaf extracts against vancomycin and methicillin resistant bacterial isolates
Type of the Article	Original research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The authors present an interesting paper with interesting and important data. However, there are some concerns that need to be clarify (see comments below).	
Minor REVISION comments	<p><u>Lines 100-101</u>: describe the type of infections in which the <i>C. procera</i> is used.</p> <p><u>Line 149</u>: Why didn't you used modern techniques like HPLC-DAD or HPLC-DAD-UV-MS/MS? Please clarify this?</p> <p><u>Line 153</u>: Is not normal using Tween 20. The normal procedure is using solvents like aqueous DMSO (Dimethyl sulfoxide) at different rates. Why did you used the Twee 20.</p> <p><u>Lines 171-190</u>: Again, why did you used and old technique like TLC when it is a broad available more updated techniques like liquid chromatography?</p> <p><u>Lines308-349</u>: Since you detected 5 types of compounds in the extracts (Table 4) of <i>C. procera</i>, do you have any idea about what was the type of compound responsible for the observed bioactivity? Please comment this with justification.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alfredo Aires
Department, University & Country	Agronomy Department, University of Trás-o-Montes e Alto Douro, Vila Real, Portugal