



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJPR_30611
Title of the Manuscript:	AAS and GC-MS analysis of phytocomponents in the leaf, stem and root of Azardiratcha indica
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please review the writing of the article, there are sentences that repeat themselves and many sentences poorly written. You should look for an English reviewer. 2. In your discussion section, you should discuss more the identified compounds importance in the use of this plant. Your discussion just present your results in a different way, that brings nothing new. <p>The last sentences of the discussion are repeated at the conclusion. The word "predict" is not the best word to conclude a scientific work, and the last phrase is not proper, review that.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Specie scientific name is not spelled correctly in the title, correct is <i>Azadirachta</i> 2. Abbreviation for millilitres is mL, not ml 3. In subsection 2.2 you wrote mls, this is not exist, it is mL. 	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Milena Kalegari
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Paraná, Brazil