



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJPR_30611
Title of the Manuscript:	AAS and GC-MS analysis of phytocomponents in the leaf, stem and root of Azardiratcha indica
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Full botanical plant names, including authorities, must be mentioned besides the local names. Please check also if your plant name corresponds to the latest revision in "The Plant List" (www.theplantlist.org). The official botanical plant names should preferably also be mentioned in the abstract. 2. This is important to further our knowledge AAS and GC-MS analysis of phytocomponents in the leaf, stem and root of <i>Azardiratcha indica</i>. 3. In objective you dint mention about AAS analysis. 4. Don't use abbreviations in abstract like AAS 5. Add Extraction method reference. <p>Arrange references in same format.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we follow VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arti Gupta
Department, University & Country	Pharmacognosy, Uka Tarsadia University, India