SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJPR_30974**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Insights on the Infrared Spectrum, Phytochemical and antibacterial activities of Calotropis procera Leaf extracts against vancomycin and methicllin resistant bacterial isolates**
Type of the Article	**Original research Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The manuscript has typos and grammatical errors which need t be corrected. The authors are required t strictly adhere with the format f the journal while preparing the manuscript. The Ethical issues should be addressed properly. Conflict f interests should be indicated.** **The Introduction, Discussion and Reference Sections should add a few recent published reports on the subject suggested as following:** 1. N. Srivastava, A. Chauhan, and B. Sharma (2012) Isolation and characterization of some phytochemicals from Indian traditional plants. BIOTECHNOLOGY RESEARCH INTERNATIONAL. Vol.2012 (2012), Article ID 549850, 8 pages 2. N. Srivastava, R.K.Sharma, N.Singh and **B.Sharma** (2012) Acetylcholinesterase from human erythrocytes membrane: a screen for evaluating the activity of some traditional plant extracts. CELLULAR AND MOLECULAR BIOLOGY (Noisy-le-grand), **58**:160-169. The legends to the Tables and Figures are required to be properly written to make them self explanatory. The results are required to be written clearly. The discussion should focus on to the presence and relevance of peaks and their importance. The paper may be accepted for publication after making revision suggested as above.	Noted. Corrected as appropriate. Noted. All corrected as appropriate and highlighted and yellow. Thank you for your reviews.
Minor REVISION comments	Nil	
Optional/General comments	Nil	