SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_BJPR_30974**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Insights on the Infrared Spectrum, Phytochemical and antibacterial activities of Calotropis procera Leaf extracts against vancomycin and methicllin resistant bacterial isolates**
Type of the Article	**Original research Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	Authors did work on the antibacterial activity of some medicinal plants like Parkia biglobosa, Hymenocardia acida and Zanthoxylum zanthoxyloides extracts on pathogenic Staphylococcus aureus isolates. They analysed antibacterial effect against only single pathogen but some questions raise in mind regarding their work. Why they selected many plants? Voucher specimen number not mentioned. From which source, pathogen is isolated? How they prepared extract? Why they used cold and hot water? Why they dried material under sun light? They didn't use antibiotics? Where is their positive and negative controls? Statistical analysis is missed. Introduction is up to date but too much short. Aim and scope is not mentioned. Materials and methods not described very well. Research paper shows only preliminary results. No secondary metabolites were detected by authors? No other confirmatory experiments like antibiofilm, cell viability assay etc were analysed. References are not according to journal format in the text. Rearrange all references according to journal instruction.	Questions not applicable to manuscript titled. But, i can give little points on the said questions to my understanding. Using different plant in there researched. I believed they want to assay the inhibitory effects of the plant against *Staphylococcus aureus*. Varying the extract solvent between cold and hot water; I believed different phytochemicals elute or dissolved at different temperature which can give its best inhibitory effect. Thank you for your time.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we follow VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.
Kindly see the following link: http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20