



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJPR_28341
Title of the Manuscript:	REVIEW OF TRADITIONALLY USED MEDICINAL PLANTS BY THE KIPSIGIS COMMUNITY IN KENYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Plagiarism issue: Similar topics : http://www.uonbi.ac.ke/journals/kesobap/ ; www.africanethnomedicines.net	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Explore all the potential of medicinal plants in kenya in the discussion (deeper)	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	References should be alphabetical order or yearly order?	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link: <http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hastin Ernawati Nur Chusnul Chotimah
Department, University & Country	Agronomy, Palangkaraya University, Indonesia