



**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJPR_25268
Title of the Manuscript:	Self-medication with antibiotics: Empirical evidence from Nigerian rural population
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**PART 2:**

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>The authors have complied with almost all suggestions of manuscript revision. However, some questions must be answered and corrected to ensure a better understanding by the reader.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The objective of study was to estimate the prevalence of self-medication with antibiotics. However, the most important outcome, reported by authors, was the prevalence of antibiotics use in the last 6 months = 82.2%. In my way of thinking the most important result of the study should be "A little more than half (50.8%) participant self-medicated with antibiotics". Because it is the answer to the purpose of the study. I would like the authors made it clear. What is the prevalence of self-medication with antibiotics?</li> <li>2) The authors assert that respondents with occupation related to health care were excluded from the study (line 97-98). But in the "Result section" (line 219-221) they say: "There was statistically significant difference between self-medication practices of those healthcare and non-healthcare related professionals (p=0.005)." However, how was this information collected? The authors not included in the study respondents with occupation related to health care. As there were no health professionals interviewed, the information becomes questionable.</li> </ol> <p>More minor revision comments are highlighted in the revised manuscript. The revision was made using tracked changes in Microsoft Word.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paulo Henrique Faria Domingues
Department, University & Country	University of Brasília, Brazil