



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJPR_22044
Title of the Manuscript:	In-Process and Finished Products Quality Control Tests for Pharmaceutical Capsules According to Pharmacopoeias
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Good quality control study	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	However quality control is directly related to patient satisfaction therefore It might be better to have bioequivalence studies side by side.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajendra Nath
Department, University & Country	King George's Medical University ,Lucknow (Up) , India