



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BJPR_21462</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Comparative Phytochemical Screening and Antioxidant Activity of the Fruit and Stem bark of Tetrapleura tetraptera</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>METHODOLOGY: Who identified the plant and where?. Voucher/batch number?</b></p> <p><i>et al</i> where ever it appears must be in italics</p> <p>relate the antioxidant property to the phytocompounds isolated. This is the basis for the screening</p> <p>line 132: activity related to the phytocompounds present in the solvent or the plant parts? Cross check this fact and effect the correction where necessary</p> <p>See attach file and Please clarify the plagiarism issue:</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Abdullahi M. Nuhu
Department, University & Country	College of Science and Technology, Kaduna Polytechnic, Kaduna, Nigeria