



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJPR_21462
Title of the Manuscript:	Antioxidant Activity of the Fruit and Stem bark of Tetrapleura tetraptera Taub
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>METHODOLOGY: Who identified the plant and where?. Voucher/batch number?</p> <p><i>et al</i> where ever it appears must be in italics</p> <p>relate the antioxidant property to the phytocompounds isolated. This is the basis for the screening</p> <p>line 132: activity related to the phytocompounds present in the solvent or the plant parts? Cross check this fact and effect the correction where necessary</p> <p>See attach file and Please clarify the plagiarism issue:</p>	<p>The necessary correction have been made . Regarding plagiarism, where the authors used materials from previous works, these have been duly referenced.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		