1	<u>Original Research Artic</u>	
2		
3	Prevalence of tonsillolith in cone beam computed tomography images	
4	of patients attending Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences	
5		
6	ABSTRACT	
7	Aims: The aim of the present study was to determine the prevalence and characteristics of tonsilloliths in	
8	the CBCT archive of department of oral and maxillofacial radiology in Shahid Beheshti Dental School.	
9	Study design: the design of this study was descriptive cross-sectional.	
10	Methodology: The CBCT imaging archive of Shahid Beheshti Dental School was investigated for	
11	presence and characteristics of tonsilloliths. In the axial view which is considered the best diagnostic view	
12	for detection of tonsillar calcifications, the medial region of the mandibular ramus adjacent to the lateral	
13	wall of oropharyngeal airway was probed. Presence of calcifications was confirmed by investigation in	
14	coronal and sagittal views.	
15	Results: 290 CBCT images were evaluated for presence of tonsilloliths. Patients' age ranged between 6-	
16	79 years (mean=40.96±16.6). 59% of the patients were female and 41% were male. Prevalence of	
17	tonsillolith was 18.6%. Tonsilloliths were more common in 30-50 year old patients. However, presence of	
18	tonsilloliths was not significantly associated to age (P=0.098) and gender (P=0.164). Unilateral, multiple	
19	and irregular tonsilloliths were more common.	

Conclusion: Prevalence of tonsillar calcifications in CBCT images was approximately 19% in our sample.
 These calcifications were more common in 30-50 year old patients. Therefore, tonsillar calcifications
 should be regarded as a differential diagnosis in middle-aged patients.

23 Keywords: tonsillolith, cone-beam computed tomography, prevalence

#### 24 1. INTRODUCTION

Tonsillar calcifications or tonsilloliths are rare forms of calcifications in the palatal tonsils. These calcifications consist of calcium salts such as hydroxyapatite, calcium carbonate apatite, oxalate and magnesium salts and are result of chronic infection of the tonsils (1). Tonsilloliths are formed within desquamated epithelium, serum, food debris, and bacterial colonies (2). Tonsilloliths are reported in 2% to 16% of the population in patients ranging from 10 to 77 years without any gender predominance (3, 4) . Tonsilloliths vary from several millimeters to several centimeters in size (5). Moreover, they can be round or irregular, single or multiple, and unilateral or bilateral (6-8). Small tonsilloliths are usually asymptomatic, but when they become bigger in size, they can cause pain, foreign body perception in the
 pharynx, swallowing discomfort, peritonsillar abscess, and halitosis (1).

34 Tonsilloliths can be found accidentally in panoramic images (5). In panoramic radiography, tonsilloliths 35 present as radio-opaque shadows in the anterior border of oropharyngeal airway or the middle part of 36 ascending ramus (7, 9, 10). Depending on the location of calcification, tonsilloliths can be mistaken with 37 foreign bodies, odontomas, sialoliths, phleboliths, calcified lymph nodes, carotid atherosclerosis, and 38 mineralization of the stylohyoid ligament. Moreover, soft and hard tissue superimpositions and ghost 39 images can mislead the clinician to diagnosis of pathologic lesions (11). Computed tomography (CT) can 40 be helpful in order to overcome these diagnostic problems. Currently, CT is the most accurate technique 41 in detection of tonsilloliths and the clinician can detect size and location of the calcification and peripheral 42 inflammation (12). 3-dimensional imaging modalities help to improve the information provided by 2-43 dimentional images and can lead to a more accurate diagnosis and treatment planning (13). Cone-beam 44 computed tomography (CBCT) as a newer imaging modality possesses lower radiation dose, less 45 exposure time, and higher spatial resolution compared to conventional CT. However, detection of 46 tonsilloliths is not a common indication for CBCT (4, 14-18).

The aim of the present study was to determine the prevalence and characteristics of tonsilloliths in the CBCT archive of department of oral and maxillofacial radiology in Shahid Beheshti Dental School.

#### 49 2. METHODOLOGY

In this descriptive cross-sectional study, the CBCT imaging archive of department of oral and maxillofacial radiology in Shahid Beheshti dental school from 2011 to 2014 was investigated for presence and characteristics of tonsilloliths. All images were obtained using NewTom VGi (NewTom, Bologna, Italy) in a setting of 110 kVp, 0.5 mA, 3.6 s and standard resolution with 200 µm voxel size.

Images were assessed and excluded if the field of view was not suitable for detection of tonsilloliths. Included images were evaluated by a trained examiner for presence or absence of tonsillolith using multiplanar view. In the axial view which is considered the best diagnostic view for detection of tonsillar calcifications, medial region of the mandibular ramus adjacent to the lateral wall of oropharyngeal airway was probed. Presence or absence of calcifications was confirmed by investigation in coronal and sagittal views. Figure 1 demonstrates tonsillar calcifications in different views.

- 60
- 61
- 62
- 63



#### 78 Figure 1. Tonsillar calcifications in axial, sagittal, and coronal views of CBCT images

Data was entered into Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS, version of 19, Chicago, IL,
 USA). Binominal test, Fisher's exact test, and Chi-square test were used for statistical analysis.

#### 81 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total number of 290 CBCT images were evaluated for presence of tonsillar calcifications. Age of the patients ranged between 6-79 years with a mean age of 40.96±16.6. 59% of the patients were female and 41% were male. Tonsilloliths were observed in 54 of the 290 images. Therefore, the prevalence of tonsilloliths was 18.6%.

Prevalence of tonsilloliths was not significantly different between genders (P=0.497). Tonsillar calcification was significantly more common in patients with 30-39 and 40-49 years of age (P=0.037). Table 1 demonstrates age distribution of tonsillar calcifications.

89

90

91

#### Table 1. Age distribution of tonsillar calcifications

Age (years)	Frequency	Percent
Less than 30	7	12.9
30-39	15	27.8
40-49	17	31.4
50-59	7	12.9
More than 60	8	14.8
Total	54	100

92

93 Unilateral calcification was observed in 64.8% of the images, while 35.2% of the images showed bilateral 94 calcifications. Fisher's exact test failed to prove significant relation between distribution of tonsilloliths and 95 gender (P=0.164). Moreover, Chi-square test did not show significant relation between distribution of 96 tonsilloliths and age (P=0.098). 35.2% of the tonsillar calcifications were on the right side and 29.6 were 97 on the left side.

46.3% of the tonsilloliths were round in shape and 53.7% were irregular. No significant difference was
observed regarding the shape of the tonsilloliths (*P*=0.583). 59.3% of the calcifications were multiple and
40.7% were solitary.

101 Based on the results of the present study, prevalence of tonsillar calcification was 18.6%. A study 102 performed by Fauroux et al in France reported that tonsilloliths were present in 24.6% of CT images (19). 103 Moreover, Centurion et al reported a prevalence of 27% in 100 CBCT images (13). Oda et al in their 104 study in Japan reported that tonsillar calcification was present in 46.1% of the 482 CT images (20). In a 105 former study carried out in Shahid Beheshti dental school prevalence of tonsilloliths in panoramic 106 radiographs was 4.9% (21). Different prevalence of tonsillar calcification in these studies may be 107 attributed to different geographical, racial, and nutritional factors and also different age range of the target 108 population. CBCT is more accurate in detection of tonsilloliths and this can justify more prevalence of 109 tonsilloliths in CBCT images compared to panoramic radiographs. Oda et al in their study compared 110 panoramic radiography and CBCT images in detection of tonsilloliths and reported that panoramic 111 radiography can detect less tonsilloliths compared to CBCT (20). Moreover, in another study prevalence 112 of tonsilloliths in the same patients was 18% and 27% in panoramic and CBCT images, respectively (13).

113 Our study demonstrated statistically significant higher prevalence of tonsillar calcifications in 30-39 and 114 40-49 years age groups. In the study performed by Aghdasi et al tonsilloliths were more frequent in patients with 40-60 years of age (21). Moreover, Garay et al reported higher prevalence of tonsilloliths in patients older than 40 years (22). Therefore, our study along with similar studies shows that tonsillar calcifications are less common in younger patients. Moreover, In our study no statistically significant difference was observed in prevalence of tonsilloliths between different genders which is in agreement with similar studies (13, 20, 21, 23, 24).

Unilateral tonsillolith was significantly higher than bilateral calcifications. This is consistent with other studies utilizing CT images (19). However, in the study of Aghdasi et al 50% of tonsillar calcifications were bilateral (21). This may be due to less accuracy of panoramic radiography in detection of these calcifications. As shown by Misirlioglu et al, 29% of bilateral calcifications in panoramic radiographs were in fact unilateral calcifications in CBCT images (25).

125 In our study, tonsilloliths were more common on the right side. Different studies report different results in

this regard (21, 23). Also in the present study multiple calcifications were more common which is in agreement with the study of Fauroux et al (19).

## 128 **4. CONCLUSION**

129 Prevalence of tonsillar calcifications in CBCT images was approximately 19% in our sample. These

130 calcifications were more common in 30-50 year old patients. Therefore, tonsillar calcifications should be

131 regarded as a differential diagnosis in middle-aged patients.

## 132 CONSENT

133 Not applicable

## 134 ETHICAL APPROVAL

Helsinki Declaration was followed in the research study. Patient information was not transferred out of the
 CBCT imaging archive of Shahid Beheshti Dental School.

# 137 **REFERENCES**

- 138 1. Silvestre-Donat FJ, Pla-Mocholi A, Estelles-Ferriol E, Martinez-Mihi V. Giant tonsillolith: report of 139 a case. Medicina oral, patologia oral y cirugia bucal. 2005;10(3):239-42.
- 140
  2. Neville B DD, Allen CM, Bouquot J. Oral & maxillofacial pathology. 2nd ed ed. Philadelphia: WB
  141
  Saunders; 2002.
- 142 3. Siber S, Hat J, Brakus I, Biocic J, Brajdic D, Zajc I, et al. Tonsillolithiasis and orofacial pain.
- 143 Gerodontology. 2012;29(2):e1157-60.
- 144 4. Mandel L. Multiple bilateral tonsilloliths: case report. Journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery :
- official journal of the American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons. 2008;66(1):148-50.

146 5. Mesolella M, Cimmino M, Di Martino M, Criscuoli G, Albanese L, Galli V. Tonsillolith. Case report 147 and review of the literature. Acta otorhinolaryngologica Italica : organo ufficiale della Societa italiana di

- 148 otorinolaringologia e chirurgia cervico-facciale. 2004;24(5):302-7.
- 149 6. JA. H. Tonsilloliths.

Ozcan E, Ural A, Oktemer TK, Alpaslan G. Bilateral tonsillolithiasis: a case report. Oral surgery,
 oral medicine, oral pathology, oral radiology, and endodontics. 2006;102(3):e17-8.

Carter LC, Tsimidis K, Fabiano J. Carotid calcifications on panoramic radiography identify an
 asymptomatic male patient at risk for stroke. A case report. Oral surgery, oral medicine, oral pathology,
 oral radiology, and endodontics. 1998;85(1):119-22.

1559.Caldas MP, Neves EG, Manzi FR, de Almeida SM, Boscolo FN, Haiter-Neto F. Tonsillolith--report156of an unusual case. British dental journal. 2007;202(5):265-7.

Scarfe W, Farman A. Soft tissue calcifications in the neck: Maxillofacial CBCT presentation and
 significance. Australian Dental Practice. 2008:102-8.

Sezer B, Tugsel Z, Bilgen C. An unusual tonsillolith. Oral surgery, oral medicine, oral pathology,
oral radiology, and endodontics. 2003;95(4):471-3.

161 12. Lo RH, Chang KP, Chu ST. Upper airway obstruction caused by bilateral giant tonsilloliths. Journal 162 of the Chinese Medical Association : JCMA. 2011;74(7):329-31.

13. Centurion BS, Imada TS, Pagin O, Capelozza AL, Lauris JR, Rubira-Bullen IR. How to assess
tonsilloliths and styloid chain ossifications on cone beam computed tomography images. Oral diseases.
2013;19(5):473-8.

166 14. Ludlow JB, Davies-Ludlow LE, Brooks SL, Howerton WB. Dosimetry of 3 CBCT devices for oral and

167 maxillofacial radiology: CB Mercuray, NewTom 3G and i-CAT. Dento maxillo facial radiology.
168 2006;35(4):219-26.

169 15. Araki K, Maki K, Seki K, Sakamaki K, Harata Y, Sakaino R, et al. Characteristics of a newly 170 developed dentomaxillofacial X-ray cone beam CT scanner (CB MercuRay): system configuration and 171 physical properties. Dento maxillo facial radiology. 2004;33(1):51-9.

172 16. Poeschl PW, Schmidt N, Guevara-Rojas G, Seemann R, Ewers R, Zipko HT, et al. Comparison of

cone-beam and conventional multislice computed tomography for image-guided dental implant
planning. Clinical oral investigations. 2013;17(1):317-24.

175 17. Giudice M, Cristofaro MG, Fava MG, Giudice A. An unusual tonsillolithiasis in a patient with
 176 chronic obstructive sialoadenitis. Dento maxillo facial radiology. 2005;34(4):247-50.

177 18. Ram S, Siar CH, Ismail SM, Prepageran N. Pseudo bilateral tonsilloliths: a case report and review

of the literature. Oral surgery, oral medicine, oral pathology, oral radiology, and endodontics.2004;98(1):110-4.

180 19. Fauroux MA, Mas C, Tramini P, Torres JH. Prevalence of palatine tonsilloliths: a retrospective 181 study on 150 consecutive CT examinations. Dento maxillo facial radiology. 2013;42(7):20120429.

182 20. Oda M, Kito S, Tanaka T, Nishida I, Awano S, Fujita Y, et al. Prevalence and imaging

characteristics of detectable tonsilloliths on 482 pairs of consecutive CT and panoramic radiographs.
BMC oral health. 2013;13:54.

Aghdasi M VS, Amintavakoli N. prevalence of tonsillolith on panoramicradiographs of outpaient
referred to oral and maxillofacial department of dentistry of shaheed beheshti university in the year
1386-87. tehran,iran: shahid beheshti university of medical sciences; 2009.

188 22. Garay I, Netto HD, Olate S. Soft tissue calcified in mandibular angle area observed by means of 189 panoramic radiography. International journal of clinical and experimental medicine. 2014;7(1):51-6.

Bamgbose BO, Ruprecht A, Hellstein J, Timmons S, Qian F. The Prevalence of Tonsilloliths and
Other Soft Tissue Calcifications in Patients Attending Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology Clinic of the
University of Iowa. ISRN dentistry. 2014;2014.

193 24. ImaniMoghaddam M, JavadzadehBluori A, AhmadianYazdi A, Daneshvar F. A one year

194 prevalence study on soft tissue opacities in panorarnic radiography in patients referred to radiology

department of Mashhad dental school. Journal of Mashhad Dental School. 2011;34:4.

19625.Misirlioglu M, Nalcaci R, Adisen MZ, Yardimci S. Bilateral and pseudobilateral tonsilloliths: Three197dimensional imaging with cone-beam computed tomography. Imaging Sci Dent. 2013;43(3):163-9.