1	Original Research Article
2	
3	Prevalence of tonsillolith in cone beam computed tomography images of
4	patients attending oral and maxillofacial radiology department of Shahid
5	Reheshti University of Medical Sciences
5	Denesiti Chiversity of Medical Sciences
6	
7	ABSTRACT
8	Aims: The aim of the present study was to determine the prevalence and characteristics of tonsilloliths in the CBCT
9	archive of department of oral and maxillofacial radiology in Shahid Beheshti Dental School.
10	Study design: the design of this study was descriptive cross-sectional.
11	Methodology: The CBCT imaging archive of Shahid Beheshti Dental School was investigated for presence and
12	characteristics of tonsilloliths. In the axial view which is considered the best diagnostic view for detection of
13	tonsillar calcifications, medial region of the mandibular ramus adjacent to the lateral wall of oropharyngeal airway
14	was probed. Presence of calcifications was confirmed by investigation in coronal and sagittal views.
15	Results: 290 CBCT images were evaluated for presence of tonsilloliths. Patients' age ranged between 6-79 years
16	(mean=40.96±16.6). 59% of the patients were female and 41% were male. Prevalence of tonsillolith was 18.6%.
17	Tonsilloliths were more common in 30-50 year old patients. However, presence of tonsilloliths was not significantly
18	associated to age (P=0.098) and gender (P=0.164). Unilateral, multiple and irregular tonsilloliths were more
19	common.
20	Conclusion: Prevalence of tonsillar calcifications in CBCT images was approximately 19% in our sample. These
21	calcifications were more common in 30-50 year old patients. Therefore, tonsilloliths should be regarded as a
22	differential diagnosis in middle-aged patients presenting opacities in the region,
23	Keywords: tonsillolith, cone-beam computed tomography, prevalence

24 **1. INTRODUCTION**

Tonsillar calcifications or tonsilloliths are rare forms of calcifications in the palatal tonsils. These calcifications consist of calcium salts such as hydroxyapatite, calcium carbonate apatite, oxalate and magnesium salts and are result of chronic infection of the tonsils (1). Tonsilloliths are formed within desquamated epithelium, serum, food debris, and bacterial colonies (2). Tonsilloliths are reported in 2% to 16% of the population in patients ranging from 10 to 77 years without any gender predominance (3, 4)–. Tonsilloliths vary from several millimeters to several centimeters in size (5). Moreover, they can be round or irregular, single or multiple, and unilateral or bilateral (6-8).

Small tonsilloliths are usually asymptomatic, but when they become bigger in size, they can cause pain, foreign
 body perception in the pharynx, swallowing discomfort, peritonsillar abscess, and halitosis (1).

33 Tonsilloliths can be found accidentally in panoramic images (5). In panoramic radiography, tonsilloliths present as 34 radio-opaque shadows in the anterior border of oropharyngeal airway or the middle part of ascending ramus (7, 9, 35 10). Depending on the location of calcification, tonsilloliths can be mistaken with foreign bodies, odontomas, 36 sialoliths, phleboliths, calcified lymph nodes, carotid atherosclerosis, and mineralization of the stylohyoid ligament. 37 Moreover, soft and hard tissue superimpositions and ghost images can mislead the clinician to diagnosis of 38 pathologic lesions (11). Computed tomography (CT) can be helpful in order to overcome these diagnostic problems. 39 Currently, CT is the most accurate technique in detection of tonsilloliths and the clinician can detect size and 40 location of the calcification and peripheral inflammation (12). 3-dimensional imaging modalities help to improve the 41 information provided by 2-dimentional images and can lead to a more accurate diagnosis and treatment planning 42 (13). Cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) as a newer imaging modality possesses lower radiation dose, less 43 exposure time, and higher spatial resolution compared to conventional CT. However, detection of tonsilloliths is not 44 a common indication for CBCT (4, 14-18).

The aim of the present study was to determine the prevalence and characteristics of tonsilloliths in the CBCT archive of department of oral and maxillofacial radiology in Shahid Beheshti Dental School.

47 2. METHODOLOGY

In this descriptive cross-sectional study, the CBCT imaging archive of department of oral and maxillofacial radiology in Shahid Beheshti dental school was investigated for presence and characteristics of tonsilloliths. All images were obtained using NewTom VGi (NewTom, Bologna, Italy) in a setting of 110 kVp, 0.5 mA, 3.6 s and standard resolution with 200 µm voxel size.

52 Images were assessed and excluded if the field of view was not suitable for detection of tonsilloliths. Included 53 images were evaluated by a trained examiner for presence or absence of tonsillolith using multiplanar view. In the 54 axial view which is considered the best diagnostic view for detection of tonsillar calcifications, medial region of the 55 mandibular ramus adjacent to the lateral wall of oropharyngeal airway was probed. Presence or absence of 56 calcifications was confirmed by investigation in coronal and sagittal views. Figure 1 demonstrates tonsillar 57 calcifications in different views.

- 58
- 59
- 60
- 61





Data was entered into Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS, version of 19, Chicago, IL, USA).
Binominal test, Fisher's exact test, and Chi-square test were used for statistical analysis.

79 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

A total number of 290 CBCT images were evaluated for presence of tonsillar calcifications. Age of the patients
ranged between 6-79 years with a mean age of 40.96±16.6. 59% of the patients were female and 41% were male.
Tonsilloliths were observed in 54 of the 290 images. Therefore, the prevalence of tonsilloliths was 18.6%.

Prevalence of tonsilloliths was not significantly different between genders (P=0.497). Tonsillar calcification was significantly more common in patients with 30-39 and 40-49 years of age (P=0.037). Table 1 demonstrates age distribution of tonsillar calcifications.

86

87

Frequency	Percent
7	12.9
15	27.8
17	31.4
7	12.9
8	14.8
54	100
	Frequency 7 15 17 7 8 54

Table 1. Age distribution of tonsillar calcifications

89

88

Unilateral calcification was observed in 64.8% of the images, while 35.2% of the images showed bilateral
 calcifications. Fisher's exact test failed to prove significant relation between distribution of tonsilloliths and gender
 (*P*=0.164). Moreover, Chi-square test did not show significant relation between distribution of tonsilloliths and age

93 (P=0.098). 35.2% of the tonsillar calcifications were on the right side and 29.6 were on the left side.

94 46.3% of the tonsilloliths were round in shape and 53.7% were irregular. No significant difference was observed 95 regarding the shape of the tonsilloliths (P=0.583). 59.3% of the calcifications were multiple and 40.7% were 96 solitary.

97 Based on the results of the present study, prevalence of tonsillar calcification was 18.6%. A study performed by 98 Fauroux et al in France reported that tonsilloliths were present in 24.6% of CT images (19). Moreover, Centurion et 99 al reported a prevalence of 27% in 100 CBCT images (13). Oda et al in their study in Japan reported that tonsillar 100 calcification was present in 46.1% of the 482 CT images (20). In a former study carried out in Shahid Beheshti 101 dental school prevalence of tonsilloliths in panoramic radiographs was 4.9% (21). Different prevalence of tonsillar 102 calcification in these studies may be attributed to different geographical, racial, and nutritional factors and also 103 different age range of the target population. CBCT is more accurate in detection of tonsilloliths and this can justify 104 more prevalence of tonsilloliths in CBCT images compared to panoramic radiographs. Oda et al in their study 105 compared panoramic radiography and CBCT images in detection of tonsilloliths and reported that panoramic 106 radiography can detect less tonsilloliths compared to CBCT (20). Moreover, in another study prevalence of 107 tonsilloliths in the same patients was 18% and 27% in panoramic and CBCT images, respectively (13).

108 Our study demonstrated statistically significant higher prevalence of tonsillar calcifications in 30-39 and 40-49 years

age groups. In the study performed by Aghdasi et al tonsilloliths were more frequent in patients with 40-60 years of

age (21). Moreover, Garay et al reported higher prevalence of tonsilloliths in patients older than 40 years (22).

- 111 Therefore, our study along with similar studies shows that tonsillar calcifications are less common in younger
- 112 patients. Moreover, In our study no statistically significant difference was observed in prevalence of tonsilloliths
- between different genders which is in agreement with similar studies (13, 20, 21, 23, 24).

114 Unilateral tonsillolith was significantly higher than bilateral calcifications. This is consistent with other studies

utilizing CT images (19, 25). However, in the study of Aghdasi et al 50% of tonsillar calcifications were bilateral

- (21). This may be due to less accuracy of panoramic radiography in detection of these calcifications. As shown by
- 117 Misirlioglu et al, 29% of bilateral calcifications in panoramic radiographs were in fact unilateral calcifications in
- **118** CBCT images (26).

In our study, tonsilloliths were more common on the right side. Different studies report different results in this
 regard (21, 23). Also in the present study multiple calcifications were more common which is in agreement with the

121 study of Fauroux et al (19).

122 4. CONCLUSION

123 Prevalence of tonsillar calcifications in CBCT images was approximately 19% in our sample. These calcifications

124 were more common in 30-50 year old patients. Therefore, tonsillar calcifications should be regarded as a differential

125 diagnosis in middle-aged patients presenting opacities in the region.

126 CONSENT

127 Not applicable

128 ETHICAL APPROVAL

129 Patient information was not transferred out of the CBCT imaging archive of Shahid Beheshti Dental School.

130 **REFERENCES**

- Silvestre-Donat FJ, Pla-Mocholi A, Estelles-Ferriol E, Martinez-Mihi V. Giant tonsillolith: report of a case.
 Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal. 2005;10(3):239-42.
- 133 2. Neville B DD, Allen CM, Bouquot J. Oral Maxillofac Pathol. 2nd ed ed. Philadelphia: WB Saunders; 2002.
- 3. Siber S, Hat J, Brakus I, Biocic J, Brajdic D, Zajc I, et al. Tonsillolithiasis and orofacial pain.
 Gerodontology. 2012;29(2):e1157-60.
- 4. Mandel L. Multiple bilateral tonsilloliths: case report. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2008;66(1):148-50.
- 137 5. Mesolella M, Cimmino M, Di Martino M, Criscuoli G, Albanese L, Galli V. Tonsillolith. Case report and

review of the literature. Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital. 2004;24(5):302-7.

139 6. Hiranandani LH. A giant tonsillolth. J Laryngol Otol. 1967;81(7):819-22.

140 7. Ozcan E, Ural A, Oktemer TK, Alpaslan G. Bilateral tonsillolithiasis: a case report. Oral Surg Oral Med
141 Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2006;102(3):e17-8.

142 8. Carter LC, Tsimidis K, Fabiano J. Carotid calcifications on panoramic radiography identify an
143 asymptomatic male patient at risk for stroke. A case report. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.
144 1998;85(1):119-22.

145 9. Caldas MP, Neves EG, Manzi FR, de Almeida SM, Boscolo FN, Haiter-Neto F. Tonsillolith--report of an
146 unusual case. Br Dent J. 2007;202(5):265-7.

147 10. Scarfe W, Farman A. Soft tissue calcifications in the neck: Maxillofacial CBCT presentation and148 significance. Aust Dent Pract. 2008:102-8.

149 11. Sezer B, Tugsel Z, Bilgen C. An unusual tonsillolith. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.
150 2003;95(4):471-3.

151 12. Lo RH, Chang KP, Chu ST. Upper airway obstruction caused by bilateral giant tonsilloliths. J Chin Med
 152 Assoc. 2011;74(7):329-31.

13. Centurion BS, Imada TS, Pagin O, Capelozza AL, Lauris JR, Rubira-Bullen IR. How to assess tonsilloliths
and styloid chain ossifications on cone beam computed tomography images. Oral Dis. 2013;19(5):473-8.

14. Ludlow JB, Davies-Ludlow LE, Brooks SL, Howerton WB. Dosimetry of 3 CBCT devices for oral and
 maxillofacial radiology: CB Mercuray, NewTom 3G and i-CAT. Dentomaxillofac Radiol. 2006;35(4):219-26.

157 15. Araki K, Maki K, Seki K, Sakamaki K, Harata Y, Sakaino R, et al. Characteristics of a newly developed

dentomaxillofacial X-ray cone beam CT scanner (CB MercuRay): system configuration and physical properties.

159 Dentomaxillofac Radiol. 2004;33(1):51-9.

16. Poeschl PW, Schmidt N, Guevara-Rojas G, Seemann R, Ewers R, Zipko HT, et al. Comparison of conebeam and conventional multislice computed tomography for image-guided dental implant planning. Clin Oral
Investig. 2013;17(1):317-24.

163 17. Giudice M, Cristofaro MG, Fava MG, Giudice A. An unusual tonsillolithiasis in a patient with chronic
164 obstructive sialoadenitis. Dentomaxillofac Radiol. 2005;34(4):247-50.

165 18. Ram S, Siar CH, Ismail SM, Prepageran N. Pseudo bilateral tonsilloliths: a case report and review of the
166 literature. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2004;98(1):110-4.

167 19. Fauroux MA, Mas C, Tramini P, Torres JH. Prevalence of palatine tonsilloliths: a retrospective study on
168 150 consecutive CT examinations. Dentomaxillofac Radiol. 2013;42(7):20120429.

20. Oda M, Kito S, Tanaka T, Nishida I, Awano S, Fujita Y, et al. Prevalence and imaging characteristics of
detectable tonsilloliths on 482 pairs of consecutive CT and panoramic radiographs. BMC Oral Health. 2013;13:54.

171 21. Aghdasi M VS, Amintavakoli N. prevalence of tonsillolith on panoramicradiographs of outpaient referred

to oral and maxillofacial department of dentistry of shaheed beheshti university in the year 1386-87. tehran,iran:shahid beheshti university of medical sciences; 2009.

174 22. Garay I, Netto HD, Olate S. Soft tissue calcified in mandibular angle area observed by means of panoramic
175 radiography. Int J Clin Exp Med. 2014;7(1):51-6.

176 23. Bamgbose BO, Ruprecht A, Hellstein J, Timmons S, Qian F. The Prevalence of Tonsilloliths and Other

Soft Tissue Calcifications in Patients Attending Oral and Maxillofacial Radiology Clinic of the University of Iowa.
ISRN Dentistry. 2014;2014.

179 24. ImaniMoghaddam M, JavadzadehBluori A, AhmadianYazdi A, Daneshvar F. A one year prevalence study

on soft tissue opacities in panorarnic radiography in patients referred to radiology department of Mashhad dental
school. Journal of Mashhad Dental School. 2011;34:4.

182 25. Aspestrand F, Kolbenstvedt A. Calcifications of the palatine tonsillary region: CT demonstration.
183 Radiology. 1987;165(2):479-80.

184 26. Misirlioglu M, Nalcaci R, Adisen MZ, Yardimci S. Bilateral and pseudobilateral tonsilloliths: Three
185 dimensional imaging with cone-beam computed tomography. Imaging Sci Dent. 2013;43(3):163-9.

186