



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_24556
Title of the Manuscript:	FUSARIUM INFECTION AFTER ALLOGENEIC STEM CELL TRANSPLANTATION WITH PROLONGED NEUTROPENIA
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	This is a well written paper showing how a fusarium infection could be successfully treated by a combination of liposomal anpho-b and voriconazole. Authors should explain why after the second HSCT failure they do not use the autologous back-up ie risk of disease relapse...and why they choose another donor for the third HSCT ie permissive HLA mismatch or Authors have also to clearly report the stem cell source PBSC or BM as per each transplants.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please check if nucci (line 85) is or not capital	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Massimo Berger
Department, University & Country	Regina Margherita Children Hospital, Turin, Italy