



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_31718
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of tonsillolith in cone beam computed tomography images of patients attending oral and maxillofacial radiology department of Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Ethical Issue- The Ethical Approval Statement is not accurate. The authors should either provide IRB Approval or make a statement to indicate that the Helsinki declaration was observed.	Helsinki declaration was followed in the study and we added the necessary statement.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The word "department" in the title is better replaced with "clinic." 2. Methodology does not indicate the years covered by review of archived records 3. Ethical Approval Statement was not rendered in the appropriate format. Patient records were involved in the study 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. this part was omitted from the title 2. years added to methodology 3. fixed
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		