



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	BJMMR
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMMR_7069
Title of the Manuscript:	Prescribing guide for Baclofen in the Treatment of Alcoholism – For use by physicians

General guideline: Reviewers are requested to follow these guidelines during review: *(Note: Title of different sections as proposed below may differ in case of review paper / case reports)*

- Introduction *(Is the problem/objective of this study original, important and well defined?)*
- Materials & methods *(Kindly comment on the suitability of the methods. Sufficient details of the methods should be provided to allow peers to evaluate and/or replicate the work)*
- Results & discussion *(Kindly comment on: 1. Are the data well controlled and robust? 2. Authors should provide relevant references during discussion. 3. Discussion and conclusions should be based on actual facts and figures. Biased claims should be pointed out. 4. Are statistical analyses must for this paper? If yes, have sufficient and appropriate statistical analyses been carried out?)*
- Conclusion *(Is the conclusion supported by the data, discussed inside the manuscript? Conclusions should not be biased and should be based on the data, presented inside the manuscript only)*
- Are all the references cited relevant, adequate? Are there any other suitable current references authors need to cite?
- This form has total 9 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part and write here 'Corrected'/ if not agreed, give suitable justifications)
COMPULSORY REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>This manuscript entitled “Prescribing guide for Baclofen in the Treatment of Alcoholism – For use by physicians” is no doubt an important and prospective study for the daily practice of medicine, especially for Alcoholism treatment. Overall, this manuscript provide a complete study and experience record based on the author’s clinic practice on over 1500 patients. Although the previous reviewers from clinic perspective agree that this is a well written manuscript, I insist that the abstract and main context should be written in a formal paper format rather than a casual record of experience.</p> <p>For example, “You will frequently notice that there is no absolute consensus and that prescribers have different approaches and practices in the</p>	<p>Abstract and main context have been changed according to the comment of the referee. Added words and sentences are highlighted in blue (to avoid confusions with the previous changes which were in yellow); suppressed words and sentences are highlighted in dark grey.</p> <p>We suppressed the word “minimal” (page 11) which was inappropriate. Also, 15 lines below, we added the word “complete” which helps the understanding of the sentence.</p> <p>For a better understanding, we also added 2 sentences in the “Baclofen treatment schedule” section: “The principle of prescription is that, to avoid reactions of intolerance, patients must slowly and progressively become habituated to the effects of baclofen.” “In particular, the bedtime prescription, which may not be necessary given that patients rarely drink during nighttime, is not</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>conduct of treatment.” in the abstract part, the way in that the author wrote is like a casual talk, not a formal presentation of findings. I would highly suggest the author to revise the whole manuscript to be a formal and objective one.</p> <p>Overall, the author has answered all of the questions that previous reviewer raised, and revised according to the comments, I recommend it to be minor revision.</p>	<p>used by all the authors.”</p>
--	---	----------------------------------