



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_29518
Title of the Manuscript:	A simple method to forecast future bed requirements: a pragmatic alternative to queuing theory
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>This paper addresses an important issue related to hospital management on bed occupancy rates. I have few minor comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Does figure 1 require permission? Please confirm. 2. In the methods part, it's unclear on how the tabulation of bed occupancy was done. Was it done manually or does the hospital have electronic system to detect bed occupancy such as "Bed Watcher System?" 3. It would be interesting to speculate on how the current system could be adopted for a universal and unified approach. What would be the barriers to be faced by hospital managers for this adoption? 4. How future studies could replicate this analysis for more robust techniques for universal adoption? 5. Would this system work in computerised system hospital and in the manually paper documented hospital? What would be the possible stakeholder views of the current approach if adopted? 	
Optional/General comments	Well written paper with novel techniques for bed approximations in hospital.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kurubaran Ganasegeran
Department, University & Country	Clinical Research Centre, Seberang Jaya Hospital Penang, Malaysia