



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_29355
Title of the Manuscript:	Is the weekend effect in hospital mortality real, or is a fundamental weekly cycle in adult blood biochemistry, health and death a contributory factor?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Ethical Issues- It is a retrospective study. Based on hospital records.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	You must better explain the statistical treatment of work. Sort the different sections and be more objective in their findings and conclusions.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I believe that the wording of the job is too large, it should be restructured in accordance with international standards of writing. It should be clearer in his comments. The author argues an alternative explanation of the phenomenon of increased mortality weekend, however from a scientific point of view is possible only argue for a possible relationship	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jesus Duarte
Department, University & Country	Internal Medicine, Universidad Siglo Xxi, Mexico