



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | <u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u> |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_BJMMR_28835 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Accuracy of Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) in Detecting Breast Tumors |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | <p>This article needs a major revision from a native English. There are spelling mistakes as well as concept ones. Here, I point out some examples:</p> <p>Pg 1, 2nd paragraph, line 20: follow up instead of flow up.</p> <p>Pg1, lines 20 and 21: "how the breast normally feels and look ... provide evidence of harm".</p> <p>Pg 2, line 32: diagnosing breast tumors and breast cancer.</p> <p>Pg 2, line 33: "reported high false negative" and in line 35 "unnecessary diagnostic tests". In my point of view, the authors meant to say that there are a lot of false positive diagnosis that result in unnecessary biopsies.</p> <p>Pg 2, line 48: the authors should insert "dynamic contrast enhancement magnetic resonance imaging" before DCE-MRI.</p> <p>Patient samples: it is not described the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Also, in this section it is written "age range between 15-77 years".</p> <p>Results: it is written " age between 15-78 years old".</p> | |



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| | There is a mismatch between the aims of the study and conclusion. | |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | <i>Bianca Gutflen</i> |
| Department, University & Country | <i>Department of Radiology, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil</i> |