



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_27687
Title of the Manuscript:	Oxidative Stress Pathway Mechanisms Induced by Four Individual Heavy Metals (As, Hg, Cd and Pb) and their Quaternary on MCF-7 Breast cancer cells
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>It has been well established that cell death induced by the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) has largely been associated with the activation of oxidative stress pathway, but the direct mechanism(s) involved are still unknown. Current study attempted to evaluates the oxidative stress pathways by which four heavy metals (As, Hg, Cd and Pb) administered singly and as a quaternary mixture induce their cytotoxic effects on MCF-7 breast cancer cells, in the presence and absence of cellular antioxidant, glutathione (GSH). Cells lines were exposed to 21.7µg/ml of the individual metals and mixture and assayed after 5 hr. Cellular levels of non specific ROS, superoxide anion (O_2^-), mitochondria membrane potential (MMP), and GSH were assayed using the FACS calibur equipped with cell quest pro for data collection. Results showed that in the presence of cellular GSH, As and Pb induced cytotoxicity by reducing the MMP while Cd, and Hg were cytotoxic by the production of mostly superoxide anions and Non specific ROS. The mixture exhibited cytotoxicity by decreasing the cellular MMP as well as producing ROS and O_2^-. When the synthesis of cellular glutathione was inhibited, all five treatments damaged the mitochondria membrane and depleted basal GSH. Cd, As, and Pb also elicited the production of ROS.</p> <p>I am very impressed with the authors' hypothesis and goal of this study. Unfortunately, at the present conditions in my judgment, this manuscript has several key weaknesses. Consequently, validating authors' observations and significance is difficult without addressing some of the following issues:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Study design and experimental tasks utilized by the authors have some poor points. Authors' should describe pattern of the tumor cells growth and malignancy with and without treatment. 2. Authors' should provide cytological pattern of the changes seen in mitochondrial complex I-V overexpression and potential quantitative results from this experiments 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>that would be necessary for the interpretation of their results and validation of the changes that seen in an different experimental conditions.</p> <p>3. Major problems with this manuscript are that it is very difficult to make judgment the regarding the conclusion that based on the authors' observation. Authors' should describe detailed features of the above mentioned markers as well as other pathways (for example energy crisis et c) that involved in this effects. It has been already demonstrated that heavy metal and oxidative stress has direct effects on the mitochondrial ability to provide energy in cancer as well as other diseases conditions.</p> <p>4. Based on the literature evidence toxic action of the free radicals most likely plays pivotal roles on this type pathology. Therefore authors should search literature evidence regarding the results observed in this current study.</p> <p>5. Several very strength statement was seen throughout in the results section of this manuscript. Only providing glutathione data it is enough to make such as statement? Did authors' provide any measurement several key oxidative stress markers , if yes, any significance differences? Any immunocytochemical staining differences?</p> <p>6. Terse but effective language should be used in order to make much clear the results and discussion without removing content central to the investigation.</p> <p>7. Literature search also very weak and often does not make any sense. I strongly recommend pubmed.com search by using key words.</p> <p>8. Based on my impression this manuscript has to be rewritten. Moreover, this manuscript contains large scale of error such as typo and stylistic misspelling. Therefore, Authors' should check their manuscript very carefully before resubmission.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	See the above section	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gjumrakch Aliev
Department, University & Country	University of Atlanta and GALLY International Biomedical Research, USA