



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_26915
Title of the Manuscript:	Traditional medicine: knowledge, attitude, and practice of medical students and their mothers in Tabuk city, Saudi Arabia.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Overall, this is a clear, concise, and well-written cross-sectional survey. The introduction is relevant and theory based and the methods are generally appropriate. The results are also clear	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Line 40 – Providing reference for the percentage would be helpful.</p> <p>Line 50 – reference for WHO definition.</p> <p>LINE 140 – EAAG Abbreviation was not described.</p> <p>The font size used in the table varies – it should be uniform (LINE 254-256,273 & 274 – font size varies from main content)</p> <p>Consider adding a flow chart on inclusion and exclusion of participants</p> <p>Once all the above comments were addressed, the article should be published.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Once these questions are addressed, the article should be published.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saravanan Kuppusamy
Department, University & Country	Department Of Clinical Physiotherapy Subjects, Psg College of Physiotherapy, India