

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJMMR_25685
Title of the Manuscript:	An Appraisal of Awareness and Practice of Modern Contraception among Prenatal Clinic Attendees in Southern, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Sample size: The calculated one was 289. What was the power used in the calculation? What was the power used that gave the sample size of 701? 2. Sampling technique: What do you mean by "simple consecutive recruitment"? Do you take all eligible respondents in the prenatal clinic? Were they selected randomly? 3. Results: What do you mean by "modal age" (line 146, pg 6) 4. Please label Figure 1 accordingly with the figure title, x and y axis 5. Table 1 is not clearly presented. Some gave row percentage and some gave column percentage. Please standardise. The "N" row under marital status is not needed there. What is "RR"? Relative risk? If this is a cross sectional study, then using the odds ratio would be more appropriate. Please write in full all abbreviations used first time.		Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
 6. Line 158-160 pg 8: Which mean and range are you referring to? is it the mean number of premarital pregnancies? Please clarify. 7. Table 2: The n for contraceptive user and non-user can be written in the table header to avoid repeating in the rows. 8. Figure 3: "desirous"- wrong spelling? 9. Table 4: The p-value for premarital terminations should be written as <0.001 because it cannot have zero value. 10. Line 299, page 16. From the data, only about 7% 	Compulsory REVISION comments	 power used in the calculation? What was the power used that gave the sample size of 701? 2. Sampling technique: What do you mean by "simple consecutive recruitment"? Do you take all eligible respondents in the prenatal clinic? Were they selected randomly? 3. Results: What do you mean by "modal age" (line 146, pg 6) 4. Please label Figure 1 accordingly with the figure title, x and y axis 5. Table 1 is not clearly presented. Some gave row percentage and some gave column percentage. Please standardise. The "N" row under marital status is not needed there. What is "RR"? Relative risk? If this is a cross sectional study, then using the odds ratio would be more appropriate. Please write in full all abbreviations used first time. 6. Line 158-160 pg 8: Which mean and range are you referring to? is it the mean number of premarital pregnancies? Please clarify. 7. Table 2: The n for contraceptive user and non-user can be written in the table header to avoid repeating in the rows. 8. Figure 3: "desirous"- wrong spelling? 9. Table 4: The p-value for premarital terminations should be written as <0.001 because it cannot have zero value. 	

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

	discontinue contraception for religious reason, therefore, the statement that religious beliefs influence contraception decision making is not quite right.	
Minor REVISION comments	 Sentence structure need to be improved in 2.3, 2.4, 2.5.1. Please write a full sentence to improve meanings, eg. "The study population was pregnant women" Review the exclusion criteria as it is repeating the same thing in the inclusion criteria Tables need to follow standard format for the journal 	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rosliza Abdul Manaf
Department, University & Country	Department of Community Health, University Putra Malaysia, Malaysia